Incidental adnexal mass at Caesarean section - the value of implementing a comprehensive consenting process

Ingrid Paredes, Marlon Pastrana, Alasdair Gordon and Toh Lick Tan

ABSTRACT

Informed consent is an important part of good medical practice. Potentially, added, but not essential procedures may only become obvious during surgery. Therefore comprehensive consent to cover such a situation is advisable. In this report, we illustrate the value of a standardised consent form which addresses the issue.

Introduction

Examination of the ovaries at caesarean section is a normal practice as ovarian pathology may be found. The incidence of an adnexal mass found at caesarean section ranges from 1 in 123¹ to 329². Ovarian cysts rarely develop de novo in late pregnancy, but rather persist from early pregnancy. About 4 in 5 ovarian cysts detected in the first trimester scan resolve spontaneously. Also, 4 in 5 of ovarian cysts persisting into the second trimester will also be present in the post-natal period as complex cysts such as serous cystadenomas, mature cystic teratomas, endometriomas and mucinous cystadenomas³. It therefore seems sensible to remove the ovarian cyst for histology at caesarean section rather than subject the woman to the anxiety of multiple investigations and/or another laparotomy, particularly when ovarian cystectomy during caesarean section does not appear to increase morbidity of the procedure ¹.

We present a case of incidental ovarian cyst found at elective caesarean section to illustrate the value of a comprehensive consenting process.

Case Report

A 35 year-old para 1 + 0 healthy Polish woman was admitted for elective lower segment caesarean section (LSCS) at 39^+ ⁴ weeks gestation in view of a previous caesarean section 2 years ago for failure to progress in the first stage of labour.She was booked in a neighbouring hospital for her antenatal care where she was counselled and consented for the procedure by her consultant. Her pregnancy was uncomplicated and routine pregnancy scans were unremarkable. Apart from drainage of a breast abscess 2 years ago, she had no medical history of note. Written consent for elective LSCS was obtained by the junior doctor on duty before the consultant pre-operative ward round. However, the directorate's standardised consent (figure 1) form was not used. The woman was therefore again counselled and written consent for elective LSCS obtained for the third time now including previously omitted additional procedures that might be performed during the course of the surgery.

At the uncomplicated LSCS under spinal anaesthetic, routine inspection of the uterus and adnexa revealed a 30 x 20 x 15 mm pedunculated firm pale mass attached to the left ovary suggestive of a fibroma. The findings were relayed to the woman, and confirmation of consent for the ovarian cystectomy was obtained. The abnormal ovarian mass was removed with conservation of the left ovary. Histology of the mass subsequently confirmed it to be an ovarian fibroma / fibrothecoma.

Discussion

The Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) recommend that clinicians should seek prior consent to treat any problem which might arise ⁴. Indeed, in its Consent Advice for caesarean section, it states that discussion of appropriate but not essential procedures, such as ovarian cystectomy at caesarean section, should take place before undertaking the procedure ⁵. This supports the position of the Department of Health which states that a procedure should not be performed merely because it is convenient, and that it is good practice where possible to seek the person's consent to the proposed procedure well in advance, when there is time to respond to the person's questions and provide adequate information ⁶.

Common 9 % Common 9 % Common 0.5 % Uncommon 0.1 % Rare 0.1 % Uncommon -1.7 % Common 1 - 3.5 % Common 1 - 3.5 % Common 1 - 2 % y et 9 - 10 on several y et 9 - 10 on several y (Uncommon 0.5%) any available E sectation
Determen 6, 19 Uncommon 0, 5 % Uncommon 0, 16% Rare 0, 1 % Uncommon +1 % Contrainen +1 -2 % Contrainen 1 - 2 % y et 9 - 10 oct - 2 % (Uncontrainen 0, 8%) any availabile
Determen 6, 19 Uncommon 0, 5 % Uncommon 0, 16% Rare 0, 1 % Uncommon +1 % Contrainen +1 -2 % Contrainen 1 - 2 % y et 9 - 10 oct - 2 % (Uncontrainen 0, 8%) any availabile
Determen 6, 19 Uncommon 0, 5 % Uncommon 0, 16% Rare 0, 1 % Uncommon +1 % Contrainen +1 -2 % Contrainen 1 - 2 % y et 9 - 10 oct - 2 % (Uncontrainen 0, 8%) any availabile
Common 6, 19 Uncommon 0, 5% Uncommon 0, 16% Rare 0, 1 % Uncommon 4, 1 % Common 4, 2 % Common 1, 2 %
Common 6, 19 Uncommon 0, 5% Uncommon 0, 16% Rare 0, 1 % Uncommon 4, 1 % Common 4, 2 % Common 1, 2 %
Common 6 % Uncommon 0.5 % Uncommon 0.10% Rare 0.1 % Uncommon <1 % Common <1 % Common 1 - 3.5 % Common 1 - 2 % y et 2 - 10 ont deviced including objectly **
Common 6 % Uncommon 0.5 % Uncommon 0.16% Rare 0.1 % Uncommon -1 % Common 1 - 3.5 % Common 1 - 2 % y at 9 - 10 cm cenvest
Common 6 % Uncommon 0.5 % Uncommon 0.16% Rare 0.1 % Uncommon ~1 %
Common 6 16 Uncommon 0.5 56 Uncommon 0.16% Rare 0.1 56 Uncommon <1 16
Common 6 % Uncommon 0.5 % Uncommon 0.16% Rare 0.1 %
Common 6 % Uncommon 0.5 % Uncommon 0.16% Rare 0.1 %
Common 6 % Uncommon 0.5 % Uncommon 0.16%
Common 6 % Uncommon 0.5 %
Common 6 %
1000 C
fealth Directorate
g Hospital MHS

In spite of the publication of the above guidelines well over a year ago, our case supports the belief that most obstetricians omit discussion and/or documentation of ovarian cystectomy at LSCS, and indeed other risks or additional procedures that may be relevant as showed in figure 1. This may be because the clinician is unaware of the recommendations, not familiar with the potential risks or findings at surgery, or that there is simply insufficient time to document comprehensively.

Our directorate has adopted the use of standardised consent forms for common procedures. These forms are available on our intranet which can be edited allowing clinicians to amend the risks and additional procedures as appropriate in each individual case. We believe the verified printed consent form offers legible and comprehensive documentation of the counselling process, as well as prompting clinicians to discuss key issues such as those recommended by the RCOG Consent Advice. We advocate the use of such standardised consent forms in improving the care of patients and supporting clinicians to deliver optimal services.

Competing Interests None declared Author Details

INGRID PAREDES, BSc, Medical student, American University of the Caribbean School of Medicine, Florida, USA MARLON PASTRANA, BSc, Medical student, American University of the

Caribbean School of Medicine, Florida, USA

your own copy of this consent form which a you will be offered a copy now. If you have	ment has been planned in advance, you should already have describes the benefits and risks of the proposed treatment. If not, any further questions, do ask – we are here to help you. You time, including after you have algored this form.
I agree to the procedure or course of treat	ment described on this form.
I understand that you cannot give me a gr person will, however, have appropriate exp	uarantee that a particular person will perform the procedure. The serience.
I understand that I will have the opportuni before the procedure, unless the urgency o general or regional anaesthesia.)	ty to discuss the details of anaesthesia with an anaesthetist of my situation prevents this. (This only applies to patients having
I understand that any procedure in addition necessary to save my life or to prevent ser-	in to those described on this form will only be carried out if it is lous harm to my health.
	res which may become necessary during my treatment. I have at wish to be carried out without further discussion.
Patient's signature: Name (PRINT):	Dote
Statement of interpreter twhere approx	priate)
I have interpreted the information above to believe sifte can understand	the patient to the best of my ability and in a way in which i
Interpreter signature	Date
Name (PRINT)	
A witness should sign below if the p consent. Young people/children may	atient is unable to sign but has indicated his or her also like a parent to sign here.
Parent i guardian signature	Date
Name (PRINT)	
Confirmation of prior consent ion day	of procedure)
On behalf of the team treating the patient.	I have confirmed with the patient that
C Sifte has no other questions, accepted a	copy of this consent form and wishes the procedure to go ahead
C Sifte has withdrawn consent and the pro	cedure is now cancelled
Patient's signature	Date
Clinician's signature	Name
Raharances • Consent Advice No 7: Caesarean Sett • NICE Clinical Guideline, Caesarean Se	Ion. RCOG October 2009
Consum: Consument sectory Venerative 2010	2

ALASDAIR GORDON, FRCS(Ed), MRCOG, Consultant obstetrician & gynaecologist, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Ealing Hospital, London, United Kingdom TOH LICK TAN, MRCOG, Consultant obstetrician & gynaecologist,

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Ealing Hospital, London, United Kingdom

CORRESSPONDENCE: Mr TOH LICK TAN, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Ealing Hospital NHS Trust, Uxbridge Road, Southall UB1 3HW, United Kingdom

Email: tohlick.tan@nhs.net

REFERENCES

- 1. Dede M, Yenen MC, Yilmaz A, Goktolga U, Baser I. Treatment of incidental adnexal masses at caesarean section: a retrospective study. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2007; 17:3 39-341.
- 2. Ulker V, Gedikbasi A, Numanoglu C, Saygı S, Aslan H, Gulkilik A. Incidental adnexal masses at caesarean section and review of the literature. J Obstet Gynaecol Research 2010; 36: 502-505.
- 3. Condus A, Khalid A, Bourne T. Should we be examining the ovaries in pregnancy? Prevalence and natural history of adnexal pathology detected at first-trimester sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004; 24: 62-66
- 4. RoyalCollegeof Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Obtaining Valid Consent. Clinical Governance Advice No 6. December 2008
- 5. RoyalCollegeof Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Caesarean Section. Consent Advice No 7. October 2009
- 6. Department of Health. Reference guide to consent for examination or treatment 2nd Edition. July 2009